

Research Paper

Generalization of Parallelepiped Equality in 2-Normed Space

Katerina Anevska¹ and Risto Malčeski^{1,*}

¹ FON University, Bul. Vojvodina bb, 1000 Skopje, Macedonia

* Corresponding author, e-mail: (risto.malceski@gmail.com)

(Received: 20-4-14 ; Accepted: 3-6-14)

Abstract: *In this paper we proved several corollaries concerning the parallelepiped equality in 2-normed space. Further, we generalized the stated above in terms of Gateaux derivatives.*

Keywords: 2-normed space, 2-pre-Hilbert space, Gateaux derivatives, quasi 2-pre-Hilbert space, smooth space.

1. Introduction

Let L be a real vector space with dimension greater than 1 and $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ be a real function on $L \times L$ satisfying:

- a) $\|a, b\| \geq 0$, for every $a, b \in L$ and $\|a, b\| = 0$ if and only if the set $\{a, b\}$ is linearly dependent;
- b) $\|a, b\| = \|b, a\|$, for every $a, b \in L$,
- c) $\|\alpha a, b\| = |\alpha| \cdot \|a, b\|$, for every $a, b \in L$ and for every $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$,
- d) $\|a + b, c\| \leq \|a, c\| + \|b, c\|$, for every $a, b, c \in L$.

Function $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ is called *2-norm* on L , and $(L, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is called *linear 2-normed space* ([7]).

Let $n > 1$ be a positive integer, L be a real vector space, $\dim L \geq n$ and $(\cdot, \cdot | \cdot)$ be a real function on $L \times L \times L$ such that:

- i) $(a, a | b) \geq 0$, for every $a, b \in L$ и $(a, a | b) = 0$ if and only if a and b are linearly dependent;
- ii) $(a, b | c) = (b, a | c)$, for every $a, b, c \in L$,
- iii) $(a, a | b) = (b, b | a)$, for every $a, b \in L$,
- iv) $(\alpha a, b | c) = \alpha(a, b | c)$, for every $a, b, c \in L$ and for every $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, and
- v) $(a + a_1, b | c) = (a, b | c) + (a_1, b | c)$, for every $a, b, a_1, c \in L$.

Function $(\cdot, \cdot | \cdot)$ is called 2-inner product, and $(L, (\cdot, \cdot | \cdot))$ is called 2-pre-Hilbert space ([3]).

Concepts of 2-norm and 2-inner product are two dimensional analogies of concepts of norm and inner product. R. Ehret proved ([6]) that, if $(L, (\cdot, \cdot | \cdot))$ be 2-pre-Hilbert space, than $\|a, b\| = (a, a | b)^{1/2}$ defines 2-norm. So, we get 2-normed vector space $(L, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ and for each $a, b, c \in L$ the following equalities are true:

$$(a, b | c) = \frac{\|a+b, c\|^2 - \|a-b, c\|^2}{4}, \tag{1}$$

$$\|a + b, c\|^2 + \|a - b, c\|^2 = 2(\|a, c\|^2 + \|b, c\|^2), \tag{2}$$

In fact, the equality (2) is two-dimensional analogy of parallelogram equality and is called parallelepiped equality ([1]). Further, if $(L, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is vector 2-normed space such that (2) is satisfied for every $a, b, c \in L$, then (1) defines 2-inner product on L , and moreover $\|a, b\| = (a, a | b)^{1/2}$, for every $a, b \in L$.

In [5] C. Diminnie and A. White by partial derivatives of 2-functionals, characterize 2-pre-Hilbert space, i.e. they prove the following: if $(L, (\cdot, \cdot | \cdot))$ be a 2-pre-Hilbert space where the norm is defined as $\|a, b\| = (a, a | b)^{1/2}$, then

$$(a, b | c) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|a+tb, c\|^2 - \|a, c\|^2}{2t}.$$

In this paper, firstly will prove an equality which is direct corollary of the parallelepiped equality and an equality which is equivalent to the parallelepiped equality.

Lemma 1: In 2-pre-Hilbert space $(L, (\cdot, \cdot | \cdot))$ for every $x, y, z, u \in L$ is true that

$$\|z - x, u\|^2 + \|z - y, u\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \|x - y, u\|^2 + 2 \|z - \frac{1}{2}(x + y), u\|^2. \tag{3}$$

Proof: Letting $a = z - x, b = z - y, c = u$ in the equality (2) we get the following

$$\|2z - (x + y), u\|^2 + \|y - x, u\|^2 = 2(\|z - x, u\|^2 + \|z - y, u\|^2),$$

which is equivalent to equality (3). ■

Lemma 2: In 2-pre-Hilbert space $(L, (\cdot, \cdot | \cdot))$ the equality (2) is equivalent to

$$\|a + b, c\|^4 - \|a - b, c\|^4 = 8(\|a, c\|^2 + \|b, c\|^2) \cdot (a, b | c). \tag{4}$$

Proof: Let $(L, (\cdot, \cdot | \cdot))$ be a 2-pre-Hilbert space. If we multiply the equality (2) by $\|a + b, c\|^2 - \|a - b, c\|^2$ and also, use the equality (1) we get the following

$$\begin{aligned} \|a + b, c\|^4 - \|a - b, c\|^4 &= 2(\|a, c\|^2 + \|b, c\|^2)(\|a + b, c\|^2 - \|a - b, c\|^2) \\ &= 8(\|a, c\|^2 + \|b, c\|^2) \cdot (a, b | c), \end{aligned}$$

It means that (2) implies (4).

Let the equality (4) be satisfied.

If $\|a + b, c\|^2 \neq \|a - b, c\|^2$, then we divide (4) by $\|a + b, c\|^2 - \|a - b, c\|^2$ and get the equality (2).

If $\|a + b, c\|^2 = \|a - b, c\|^2$, i.e. $(a, b | c) = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|a + b, c\|^2 + \|a - b, c\|^2 &= 2\|a + b, c\|^2 = 2(a + b, a + b | c) \\ &= 2[(a, a | c) + 2(a, b | c) + 2(b, b | c)] \\ &= 2(\|a, c\|^2 + \|b, c\|^2), \end{aligned}$$

i.e. the equality (2) is correct. ■

2. Generalization of the Parallelepiped Equality

Let $(L, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a real 2-normed space. By lemma 2.1, [2], on $L \times L \times L$ the following functionals exist:

$$N_+(x, z)(y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\|x+ty, z\| - \|x, z\|}{t}, \quad N_-(x, z)(y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{\|x+ty, z\| - \|x, z\|}{t}, \quad (5)$$

and are called right-hand and left-hand Gateaux derivative, respectively of a 2-norm $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ at (x, z) in the direction y . Therefore, exists the functional

$$g(x, z)(y) = \frac{\|x, z\|}{2} (N_-(x, z)(y) + N_+(x, z)(y)). \quad (6)$$

Further, if $N_-(x, z)(y) = N_+(x, z)(y)$, then the 2-norm $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ is said to be Gateaux differentiable at (x, z) in the direction y and is denoted by

$$N(x, z)(y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x+ty, z\| - \|x, z\|}{t}.$$

Theorem 1: Let $(L, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a real 2-normed space. The followings are correct:

$$g(x, z)(x) = \|x, z\|^2, \text{ for every } x, z \in L, \quad (7)$$

$$|g(x, z)(y)| \leq \|x, z\| \cdot \|y, z\|, \text{ for every } x, y, z \in L, \tag{8}$$

$$g(x, z)(x + y) = \|x, z\|^2 + g(x, z)(y), \text{ for every } x, y, z \in L, \tag{9}$$

$$g(\alpha x, z)(\beta y) = \alpha\beta g(x, z)(y), \text{ for every } x, y, z \in L; \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R} \tag{10}$$

$$\|x, z\| \frac{\|x + \lambda y, z\| - \|x, z\|}{\lambda} \leq g(x, z)(y) \leq \|x, z\| \frac{\|x + ty, z\| - \|x, z\|}{t}, \lambda < 0, t > 0, x, y, z \in L. \tag{11}$$

Proof: Let $x, z \in L$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} g(x, z)(x) &= \frac{\|x, z\|}{2} (N_-(x, z)(x) + N_+(x, z)(x)) \\ &= \frac{\|x, z\|}{2} \left(\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{\|x + tx, z\| - \|x, z\|}{t} + \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\|x + tx, z\| - \|x, z\|}{t} \right) \\ &= \frac{\|x, z\|}{2} \left(\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{(1+t)\|x, z\| - \|x, z\|}{t} + \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(1+t)\|x, z\| - \|x, z\|}{t} \right) \\ &= \frac{\|x, z\|}{2} (\|x, z\| + \|x, z\|) \\ &= \|x, z\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. the equality (7) is correct.

Since the definition of the functional g and the properties of Gateaux's derivatives, follows

$$g(x, z)(y) = \frac{\|x, z\|}{2} (N_-(x, z)(y) + N_+(x, z)(y)) \leq \frac{\|x, z\|}{2} \cdot 2N_+(x, z)(y) \leq \|x, z\| \cdot \|y, z\|,$$

for every $x, y, z \in L$, i.e. the equality (8) is correct.

Equalities (5) and (6) imply

$$\begin{aligned} g(x, z)(x + y) &= \frac{\|x, z\|}{2} (N_-(x, z)(x + y) + N_+(x, z)(x + y)) \\ &= \frac{\|x, z\|}{2} \left(\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{\|x + t(x + y), z\| - \|x, z\|}{t} + \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\|x + t(x + y), z\| - \|x, z\|}{t} \right) \\ &= \frac{\|x, z\|}{2} \left(2\|x, z\| + \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{\|x + \frac{t}{1+t}y, z\| - \|x, z\|}{\frac{t}{1+t}} + \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\|x + \frac{t}{1+t}y, z\| - \|x, z\|}{\frac{t}{1+t}} \right) \\ &= \|x, z\|^2 + \frac{\|x, z\|}{2} (N_-(x, z)(y) + N_+(x, z)(y)) = \|x, z\|^2 + g(x, z)(y), \end{aligned}$$

for every $x, y, z \in L$, i.e. the equality (9) is correct.

For $\alpha > 0$, by the properties of 2-norm and Gateaux derivatives follows

$$\begin{aligned} g(\alpha x, z)(y) &= \frac{\|\alpha x, z\|}{2} (N_-(\alpha x, z)(y) + N_+(\alpha x, z)(y)) \\ &= \alpha \frac{\|x, z\|}{2} (N_-(x, z)(y) + N_+(x, z)(y)) = \alpha g(x, z)(y), \end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(\alpha x, z)(y) &= \frac{\|\alpha x, z\|}{2} (N_-(\alpha x, z)(y) + N_+(\alpha x, z)(y)) \\
 &= -\alpha \frac{\|x, z\|}{2} (-N_+(x, z)(y) - N_-(x, z)(y)) = \alpha g(x, z)(y).
 \end{aligned}$$

For $\beta > 0$, by the properties of Gateaux derivatives follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(x, z)(\beta y) &= \frac{\|x, z\|}{2} (N_-(x, z)(\beta y) + N_+(x, z)(\beta y)) \\
 &= \frac{\|x, z\|}{2} (\beta N_-(x, z)(y) + \beta N_+(x, z)(y)) = \beta g(x, z)(y),
 \end{aligned}$$

For $\beta < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(x, z)(\beta y) &= \frac{\|x, z\|}{2} (N_-(x, z)(\beta y) + N_+(x, z)(\beta y)) \\
 &= \frac{\|x, z\|}{2} (\beta N_+(x, z)(y) + \beta N_-(x, z)(y)) = \beta g(x, z)(y).
 \end{aligned}$$

So, for every $x, y, z \in L; \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$

$$g(\alpha x, z)(\beta y) = \alpha g(x, z)(\beta y) = \alpha \beta g(x, z)(y),$$

i.e. the equality (10) is correct.

Let $t > 0$ and $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore, since the corollary 2.3, [2] follows

$$N_-(x, z)(y) \leq N_+(x, z)(y)$$

So,

$$g(x, z)(y) = \frac{\|x, z\|}{2} (N_-(x, z)(y) + N_+(x, z)(y)) \leq \|x, z\| N_+(x, z)(y), \tag{12}$$

and since, lemma 2.1, [2] the functional

$$n(x, z, y)(t) = \frac{\|x+ty, z\| - \|x, z\|}{t}$$

is monotony increasing at $(0, +\infty)$. Thus, we get that for $t > 0$,

$$N_+(x, z)(y) \leq \frac{\|x+ty, z\| - \|x, z\|}{t},$$

So, by inequality (12) follows

$$g(x, z)(y) \leq \|x, z\| \frac{\|x+ty, z\| - \|x, z\|}{t},$$

i.e. the right inequality in (11) is correct. The left inequality in (11) can be proved analogously. ■

Theorem 2: $(L, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is a 2-pre-Hilbert space if and only if $g(x, z)(y)$ is 2-inner product of vectors x, y and z , for every $x, y, z \in L$.

Proof: Let $(L, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be 2-pre-Hilbert space, i.e. exists 2-inner product $(\cdot, \cdot | \cdot)$ such that $\|x, y\| = (x, x | y)^{1/2}$ and $x, y, z \in L$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} g(x, z)(y) &= \frac{\|x, z\|}{2} (N_-(x, z)(y) + N_+(x, z)(y)) \\ &= \frac{\|x, z\|}{2} \left(\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{\|x+ty, z\| - \|x, z\|}{t} + \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\|x+ty, z\| - \|x, z\|}{t} \right) \\ &= \frac{\|x, z\|}{2} \left(\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{2(x, y|z) + t(y, y|z)}{\|x+ty, z\| + \|x, z\|} + \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{2(x, y|z) + t(y, y|z)}{\|x+ty, z\| + \|x, z\|} \right) \\ &= \frac{\|x, z\|}{2} \left(\frac{(x, y|z)}{\|x, z\|} + \frac{(x, y|z)}{\|x, z\|} \right) \\ &= (x, y | z), \end{aligned}$$

It means that $g(x, z)(y)$ is 2-inner product of the vectors x, y and z .

The converse of the above stated is evident by equality (7), i.e. if $g(x, z)(y)$ is 2-inner product of the vectors x, y and z , for every $x, y, z \in L$, then the equality (7) implies $(L, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is 2-pre-Hilbert space. ■

Remark 1: By Theorem 2, and if $(L, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is 2-pre-Hilbert space, the following equalities are true

$$g(x, z)(y) = (x, y | z) = (y, x | z) = g(y, z)(x)$$

Thus, the equality (4) (by Lemma 2, the equalities (4) and (2) are equivalent) can be written as

$$\|x + y, z\|^4 - \|x - y, z\|^4 = 8(\|x, z\|^2 g(x, z)(y) + \|y, z\|^2 g(y, z)(x)), \tag{13}$$

It means the equality (13) generalize the equality (4) in 2-normed space.

3. Quasi 2-Pre-Hilbert Space

Definition 1: 2-normed space $(L, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ where the equality (13) is satisfied is said to be quasi 2-pre-Hilbert space.

Example 1: In [9] is proved that on the set of bounded sequences of real numbers l^∞

$$\|x, y\| = \sup_{\substack{i, j \in \mathbf{N} \\ i < j}} \left| \begin{vmatrix} x_i & x_j \\ y_i & y_j \end{vmatrix} \right|, \quad x = (x_i)_{i=1}^\infty, \quad y = (y_i)_{i=1}^\infty \in l^\infty$$

defines 2-norm. It means $(l^\infty, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is real 2-normed space. The vectors

$$x = (1 - \frac{1}{2}, 1 - \frac{1}{2^2}, \dots, 1 - \frac{1}{2^n}, \dots), \quad y = (0, 1 - \frac{1}{2}, 1 - \frac{1}{2^2}, \dots, 1 - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}, \dots) \quad \text{and} \quad z = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$$

satisfy

$$\|x + y, z\| = 2, \|x - y, z\| = \frac{1}{2}, \|x, z\| = \|y, z\| = 1, N_+(x, z)(y) = 1 = N_-(x, z)(y),$$

$$N_+(y, z)(x) = 1 = N_-(y, z)(x) \text{ и } g(x, z)(y) = 1 = g(y, z)(x).$$

Thus,

$$\|x + y, z\|^4 - \|x - y, z\|^4 = 16 - \frac{1}{8} \neq 16 = 8(\|x, z\|^2 g(x, z)(y) + \|y, z\|^2 g(y, z)(x)),$$

It means 2-normed space $(l^\infty, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is not quasi 2-pre-Hilbert space. ■

Remark 2: Example 1, shows that 2-normed space $(l^\infty, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is not quasi 2-pre-Hilbert space. Moreover, the equality (4) is satisfied in any 2-pre-Hilbert space, and in this case, the equalities (4) and (13) are equivalent. It means that each 2-pre-Hilbert space is quasi 2-pre-Hilbert space. Thus, $(l^\infty, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is not quasi 2-pre-Hilbert space. But, we already said that each 2-pre-Hilbert space is quasi 2-pre-Hilbert space. Therefore, introducing the notion of quasi 2-pre-Hilbert space is reasonable.

Definition 2 ([2]): 2-normed space $(L, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is said to be smooth if for $x \neq 0$ and $z \notin V(x)$ 2-norm $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ is Gateaux differentiable at (x, z) in the direction y .

Lemma 3 ([2]): 2-normed space $(L, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is smooth if and only if one of the following conditions are satisfied: for every $x \neq 0$ and $z \notin V(x)$

- (1) $N_+(x, z)(y + y') = N_+(x, z)(y) + N_+(x, z)(y')$.
- (2) $-N_+(x, z)(-y) = N_+(x, z)(y)$. ■

Lemma 4 ([2]): If $(L, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is smooth, then the following states are equivalent:

- (1) If $\|x, z\| = \|y, z\|$, then $N_+(x, z)(y) = 0$ implies $N_+(y, z)(x) = 0$.
- (2) If $\|x, z\| = \|y, z\|$, then $\|x + ty, z\| \geq \|x, z\|$, for every $t \in \mathbf{R}$ implies $\|y + tx, z\| \geq \|y, z\|$. ■

Theorem 3: Every quasi 2-pre-Hilbert space $(L, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is smooth.

Proof: Let $(L, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be arbitrary quasi 2-pre-Hilbert space. If $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and $x, y, z \in L$, then (13) implies

$$\|(x + ty) + y, z\|^4 - \|(x + ty) - y, z\|^4 = 8(\|x + ty, z\|^2 g(x + ty, z)(y) + \|y, z\|^2 g(y, z)(x + ty)). \quad (*)$$

Moreover, using the equalities (9) and (10), for $t \neq 0$ we get

$$g(y, z)(x + ty) = \frac{1}{t} g(ty, z)(x + ty) = \frac{1}{t} (\|ty, z\|^2 + g(ty, z)(x)) = t \|y, z\|^2 + g(y, z)(x) \quad (14)$$

For $t = 0$ the last equality obviously holds. Letting $t \rightarrow 0$ in the equality (*), we get

$$\|x + y, z\|^4 - \|x - y, z\|^4 = 8(\|x, z\|^2 \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} g(x + ty, z)(y) + \|y, z\|^2 g(y, z)(x)). \quad (15)$$

Further, the equalities (13) and (15) imply

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} g(x + ty, z)(y) = g(x, z)(y). \tag{16}$$

If we substitute x and y by $x + \frac{t}{2}y$ and $\frac{t}{2}y$, respectively into the equality (13) we get

$$\|x + ty, z\|^4 - \|x, z\|^4 = 8\left(\frac{t}{2}\|x + \frac{t}{2}y, z\|^2 g(x + \frac{t}{2}y, z)(y) + \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^3 \|y, z\|^2 g(y, z)(x + \frac{t}{2}y)\right)$$

Thus, using the equality (14) for $t \neq 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|x+ty, z\| - \|x, z\|}{t} &= \frac{4\|x+\frac{t}{2}y, z\|^2 g(x+\frac{t}{2}y, z)(y) + t^2\|y, z\|^2 g(y, z)(x+\frac{t}{2}y)}{(\|x+ty, z\| + \|x, z\|)(\|x+ty, z\|^2 + \|x, z\|^2)} \\ &= \frac{4\|x+\frac{t}{2}y, z\|^2 g(x+\frac{t}{2}y, z)(y) + t^2\|y, z\|^2 g(y, z)(x) + \frac{t^3}{2}\|y, z\|^4}{(\|x+ty, z\| + \|x, z\|)(\|x+ty, z\|^2 + \|x, z\|^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using the equality (16) and letting $t \rightarrow 0^+$ and $t \rightarrow 0^-$ in the last one, we get

$$N_+(x, z)(y) = \frac{g(x, z)(y)}{\|x, z\|} = N_-(x, z)(y),$$

It means that 2-normed space $(L, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is smooth. ■

In the following theorem we'll denote necessary and sufficient condition, quasi 2-normed space be 2-pre-Hilbert space.

Theorem 4: 2-normed space L is 2-pre-Hilbert space if and only if is quasi 2-pre-Hilbert space and the following equivalence

$$\|x + y, z\| = \|x - y, z\| \Leftrightarrow g(x, z)(y) = 0, \tag{17}$$

is succeed on L .

Proof: Let L be 2-pre-Hilbert space with 2-inner product $(\cdot, \cdot | \cdot)$. Then, by Remark 1, $g(x, z)(y) = g(y, z)(x) = (x, y | z)$ holds. Thus, the equality (13) is correct, and thus the equivalence (17) are satisfied on L .

Let suppose that L is a quasi 2-pre-Hilbert space and the equivalence (17) is succeeded on L . Then, the equality (13) implies

$$\|x + ty, z\|^4 - \|x - ty, z\|^4 = 8t(\|x, z\|^2 g(x, z)(y) + t^2\|y, z\|^2 g(y, z)(x)), \tag{18}$$

for every $x, y, z \in L$ and every $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Let be $\|x + y, z\| = \|x - y, z\|$. Then, (17) implies $g(x, z)(y) = 0$. The last and the fact that (18) holds for every $t \in \mathbf{R}$, imply $g(y, z)(x) = 0$. By (18) follows

$$\|x + ty, z\| = \|x - ty, z\|, \text{ for every } t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Thus, in quasi 2-pre-Hilbert space in which the equivalence (17) is satisfied, also satisfied is the following condition: If $\|x + y, z\| = \|x - y, z\|$, then $\|x + ty, z\| = \|x - ty, z\|$, for every $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Finally, by the Theorem 7, [2], follows L is 2-pre-Hilbert space. ■

References

- [1] K. Anevska and R. Malčeski, Characteization of 2-inner product using Euler-Lagrange type of equality, *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)*, 3(6) (2014), 1220-1222.
- [2] Y.J. Cho and S.S. Kim, Gâteaux derivatives and 2-inner product spaces, *Glasnik Matematički*, 27(47) (1992), 271-282.
- [3] C. Diminnie, S. Gähler and A. White, 2-inner product spaces, *Demonstratio Mathematica*, VI(1) (1973), 525-536.
- [4] C. Diminnie, S. Gähler and A. White, 2-inner product spaces II, *Demonstratio Mathematica*, X(1977), 169-188.
- [5] C. Diminnie and A. White, 2-inner product spaces and Gâteaux partial derivatives, *Comment Math. Univ. Carolinae*, 16(1) (1975), 115-119.
- [6] R. Ehret, Linear 2-normed spaces, *Doctoral Diss.*, Saint Louis Univ., (1969).
- [7] S. Gähler, Lineare 2-normierte Räume, *Math. Nachr.*, 28(1965), 1-42.
- [8] H. Gunawan, On convergence in n -inner product spaces, *Bull. Malaysian Math. Sc. Soc. (Second Series)*, 25(2002), 11-16.
- [9] A. Malčeski, l^∞ as n -normed space, *Matematički Bilten*, 21(XXI) (1997), 103-110.
- [10] A. Malčeski and R. Malčeski, $L^1(\mu)$ as a n -normed space, *Annuaire des l'institut des Mathematiques*, 38(1997), 23-29.
- [11] A. Malčeski and R. Malčeski, $L^p(\mu)$ as a 2-normed space, *Matematički Bilten*, 29(XL) (2005), 71-76.
- [12] R. Malčeski, Remarks on n -normed spaces, *Matematčki Bilten*, 20(XLVI) (1996), 33-50, (Macedonian).
- [13] R. Malčeski, Strong convex n -normed spaces, *Macedonian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Contributions*, XVIII(1-2) (1997), 39-57.
- [14] R. Malčeski, Strong n -convex n -normed spaces, *Matematički Bilten*, 21(XXI) (1997), 81-102.
- [15] M.P. Miličić, A generalization of the parallelogram equality in normed spaces, *Jour. of Math. Kyoto University*, 38(1) (1998), 71-75.
- [16] M.P. Miličić, On the quasi-inner product spaces, *Matematički Bilten*, 22(XLVIII) (1998), 19-30.