

Research Paper

Reverse Derivations on Prime Gamma Near Rings

Afrah Mohammad Ibraheem

Department of Mathematics, College of Education, Al-Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq

Corresponding author, e-mail: (Afrah.diyar@yahoo.com)

(Received: 3-11-14; Accepted: 23-1-15)

Abstract: *The main purpose of this paper is to study and investigate some results concerning reverse derivations on prime Γ -near rings. If M be a prime Γ -near ring with a non zero reverse derivation D , and U be an invariant subset of M then, M is commutative.*

Keywords: Prime Γ -near ring, an invariant set, reverse derivation, commutativity.

1. Introduction:

Bresar and Vukman [1] have introduced the notion of a reverse derivation as an additive mapping d from a ring R into itself satisfying $d(xy) = d(y)x + yd(x)$, for all $x, y \in R$. Samman and Alyamani [3] studied the reverse derivations on semi prime rings. In this paper some results concerning to reverse and right reverse derivations on a non zero invariant set of a prime Γ -near ring are presented.

2. Preliminaries

A Γ -near-ring M is a triple $(M, +, \Gamma)$ where

(i) $(M, +)$ is a not necessarily abelian group,

(ii) Γ is a non-empty set of binary operations on M such that for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $(M, +, \alpha)$ is a near-ring.

(iii) $x\alpha(y\beta z) = (x\alpha y)\beta z$ for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. A subset U of a Γ -near-ring M is said to be left (resp. right) invariant if $x\alpha a \in U$ (resp. $a\alpha x \in U$), for all $a \in U$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $x \in M$. If U is both left and right invariant, we say that U is invariant. Throughout, M will represent a prime Γ -near ring with center $Z(M)$ defined as $Z(M) = \{x \in M : x\alpha y = y\alpha x, \text{ for all } y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma\}$. We write $[x, y]_\alpha$ for $x\alpha y - y\alpha x$. Recall that a Γ -near ring M is called prime if for $x, y \in M$, $x\Gamma M\Gamma y = \{0\}$ implies $x = 0$ or $y = 0$. An additive

mapping D from M into itself is called a derivation if $D(x\alpha y) = D(x)\alpha y + x\alpha D(y)$ for all $x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$, and is called a reverse derivation if $D(x\alpha y) = D(y)\alpha x + y\alpha D(x)$, for all $x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$.

Throughout the present paper we consider M satisfying the assumption (*)... $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$, for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. According to this assumption, we shall make extensive use of the following basic commutator identities:

$$[x\beta y, z]_\alpha = x\beta[y, z]_\alpha + [x, z]_\alpha\beta y,$$

$$[x, y\beta z]_\alpha = y\beta[x, z]_\alpha + [x, y]_\alpha\beta z, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

3. Main Results

In order to prove our results, we need the following lemmas:

Lemma 3.1 [2]: *Let M be a prime Γ -near-ring and $U (\neq \{0\})$ be a right (resp. left) invariant subset of M . If x is an element of M such that $U\Gamma x = \{0\}$ (resp. $x\Gamma U = \{0\}$), then $x = 0$.*

Lemma 3.2 [2]: *Let M be a prime Γ -near-ring and $U (\neq \{0\})$ be an invariant subset of M , then for any $x, y \in M, x\Gamma U\Gamma y = \{0\}$ implies $x = 0$ or $y = 0$.*

Lemma 3.3: *Let M be a prime Γ -near ring, $U (\neq \{0\})$ be an invariant subset of M , and D be a non zero reverse derivation of M , then*

- (i) For any $y \in M$, if $D(U)\Gamma y = \{0\}$ then $y = 0$.
- (ii) For any $x \in M$, if $x\Gamma D(U) = \{0\}$ then $x = 0$.

Proof:

(i) Assume that $D(U)\Gamma y = \{0\}$, for $y \in M$.

Let $u \in U$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then we have

$$D(u)\alpha y = 0. \tag{1}$$

Replacing u by $u\beta x$ in equ. (1) and using (1), we get,

$$D(x)\beta u \alpha y = 0. \text{ for all } u \in U, x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \tag{2}$$

By using lemma 3.2 in equ. (2), and since $D \neq 0$, then we have $y = 0$.

(ii) Similarly of proof (i).

Theorem 3.4: *Let M be a prime Γ -near ring, $U (\neq \{0\})$ be an invariant subset of M , and D be a non zero reverse derivation of M . If D is commuting on U , then M is commutative.*

Proof:

$$\text{Let } [D(u), u]_\alpha = 0, \text{ for all } u \in U \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma. \tag{1}$$

By linearizing the equ. (1), which gives

$$[v, u]_\alpha \beta D(u) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \tag{2}$$

For $y \in M$, we replace v by $y\lambda v$ in equ. (2) and using (2), we get,

$$[y, u]_{\alpha} \lambda v \beta D(u) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta, \lambda \in \Gamma. \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

Now, we taking w instead of $v\beta D(u)$ in equ. (3), we get

$$[y, u]_{\alpha} \lambda w = 0, \text{ for all } u, w \in U, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \lambda \in \Gamma. \dots\dots\dots (4)$$

Then by using lemma 1, we have

$$[y, u]_{\alpha} = 0, \text{ for all } u \in U, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma. \dots\dots\dots (5)$$

For $x \in M$, we replace u by $x\delta u$ in equ. (5) and using (5), we get,

$$[y, x]_{\alpha} \delta u = 0, \text{ for all } u \in U, x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \delta \in \Gamma.$$

Then by using lemma 3.1, we have

$$[y, x]_{\alpha} = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma. \text{ Hence } M \text{ is commutative.}$$

Theorem 3.5: *Let M be a prime Γ -near ring, $U (\neq \{0\})$ be an invariant subset of M , and D be a non zero reverse derivation of M . If $[D(v), D(u)]_{\alpha} = 0$, for all $u, v \in U$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then M is commutative.*

Proof:

$$\text{Given that } [D(v), D(u)]_{\alpha} = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma, \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

Replacing v by $v\beta u$ in equ. (1) and using (1), we get,

$$D(u)\beta[v, D(u)]_{\alpha} + [u, D(u)]_{\alpha} \beta D(v) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

Replacing v by $z\lambda v$, where $z \in Z(M)$ in equ. (2) and using (2), we get,

$$[u, D(u)]_{\alpha} \beta v \lambda D(z) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U, z \in Z \text{ and } \alpha, \beta, \lambda \in \Gamma. \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

By using lemma 3.2, in equ. (3), we have

Either $[u, D(u)]_{\alpha} = 0$ or $D(z) = 0$ and since $D(z) \neq 0$, then we get

$$[u, D(u)]_{\alpha} = 0, \text{ for all } u \in U \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

By using the similar procedure as in Theorem 3.4, we get, M is commutative.

Theorem 3.6: *Let M be a prime Γ -near ring, $U (\neq \{0\})$ be an invariant subset of M , and D be a non zero right reverse derivation of M . If $[D(v), D(u)]_{\alpha} = [v, u]_{\alpha}$, for all $u, v \in U$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then M is commutative.*

Proof:

$$\text{Let } [D(v), D(u)]_{\alpha} = [v, u]_{\alpha}, \text{ for all } u, v \in U \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma, \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

Replacing v by $v\beta u$ in equ. (1), we get,

$$[D(u)\beta v, D(u)]_{\alpha} + [D(v)\beta u, D(u)]_{\alpha} = [v, u]_{\alpha} \beta u, \text{ for all } u, v \in U \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

By using the definition of right reverse derivation and using equ. (1) in equ. (2), we get

$$D(u)\beta[v, D(u)]_\alpha + D(v)\beta[u, D(u)]_\alpha = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$$

By using the similar procedure as in equation (2) of theorem 3.5, the proof is completed. Hence M is commutative.

Theorem 3.7: Let M be a prime Γ -near ring, $U (\neq \{0\})$ be an invariant subset of M , and D be a non zero reverse derivation of M . If $D([u, v]_\alpha) \in Z(M)$, for all $u, v \in U$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Then, M is commutative.

Proof:

Assume that $D([u, v]_\alpha) \in Z(M)$, for all $u, v \in U$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, (1)

Hence for all $u, v \in U, m \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$,

$$[D([u, v]_\alpha), m]_\beta = 0, \text{ (2)}$$

Replacing $[u, v]_\alpha$ by $[u, v]_\alpha \delta z$ in equ. (2), we get

$$[D(z)\delta [u, v]_\alpha, m]_\beta + [z\delta D([u, v]_\alpha), m]_\beta = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U, z, m \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma \text{ (3)}$$

By using the commutator identities and using equ. (2) in equ. (3), we get

$$[D(z)\beta[u, v]_\alpha, m]_\lambda = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U, \text{ and } \alpha, \beta, \lambda \in \Gamma \text{ (4)}$$

Replacing $D(z)\beta[u, v]_\alpha$ by $[u', v']_\alpha$ in equ. (4), we get

$$[[u', v']_\alpha, m]_\lambda = 0, \text{ for all } u', v' \in U, m \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \lambda \in \Gamma. \text{ (5)}$$

Replacing $[u', v']_\alpha$ by $n\gamma[u', v']_\alpha, n \in M$ in equ. (5) and using (5), we get

$$[n, m]_\lambda \gamma [u', v']_\alpha = 0, \text{ for all } u', v' \in U, n, m \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \lambda, \gamma \in \Gamma. \text{ (6)}$$

By using lemma 3.1 in equ. (6), we get

$$[n, m]_\lambda = 0, \text{ for all } n, m \in M \text{ and } \lambda \in \Gamma. \text{ Hence } M \text{ is commutative.}$$

Theorem 3.8: Let M be a prime Γ -near ring, $U (\neq \{0\})$ be an invariant subset of M , and D be a non zero reverse derivation of M . If $[u, D(v)]_\alpha \in Z(M)$, for all $u, v \in U$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Then, M is commutative.

Proof:

Assume that $[u, D(v)]_\alpha \in Z(M)$, for all $u, v \in U$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, (1)

Hence for all $u, v \in U, m \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, [[u, D(v)]_\alpha, m]_\beta = 0$ (2)

Replacing u by $u\delta D(v)$ in equ. (2) and using equ. (2), we get

$$[u, D(v)]_\alpha \delta [D(v), m]_\beta = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U, m \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma, \text{ (3)}$$

By using equation (1) in equ. (3), we get

$$r\lambda[u, D(v)]_\alpha \delta [D(v), m]_\beta = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U, m, r \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta, \delta, \lambda \in \Gamma, \dots\dots\dots (4)$$

Replacing $[u, D(v)]_\alpha$ by w in equ. (4), we get

$$r\lambda w \delta [D(v), m]_\beta = 0, \text{ for all } v, w \in U, m, r \in M \text{ and } \beta, \delta, \lambda \in \Gamma, \dots\dots\dots (5)$$

By using lemma 3.2 in equ. (5), we get

$$[D(v), m]_\beta = 0, \text{ for all } v \in U, m \in M \text{ and } \beta \in \Gamma, \dots\dots\dots (6)$$

Replacing $D(v)$ by $n\gamma D(v)$ in equ. (6) and using (6), we get

$$[n, m]_\beta \gamma D(v) = 0, \text{ for all } v \in U, n, m \in M \text{ and } \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma, \dots\dots\dots (7)$$

By using lemma 3.3 in equ. (7), we get

$$[n, m]_\beta = 0, \text{ for all } n, m \in M \text{ and } \beta \in \Gamma. \text{ Hence } M \text{ is commutative.}$$

Theorem 3.9: *Let M be a prime Γ -near ring, $U (\neq \{0\})$ be an invariant subset of M , and D be a non zero reverse derivation of M . If one of the properties satisfying:*

- (i) $D([u, v]_\alpha) = [u, D(v)]_\alpha$,
- (ii) $[u, D(v)]_\alpha = [u, v]_\alpha$.

Then, M is commutative.

Proof:

$$(i) \text{ Given that } D([u, v]_\alpha) = [u, D(v)]_\alpha, \text{ for all } u, v \in U \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma, \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

Replacing v by $v\beta u$ in equ. (1) and using (1), we get,

$$[u, D(u)]_\alpha \beta v = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

Replacing $D(u)$ by $y\gamma D(u)$, $y \in M$ in equ. (2) and using (2), we get

$$[u, y]_\alpha \gamma D(u) \beta v = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma, \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

Replacing $D(u)\beta v$ by $D(w)$ in equ. (3) and using (3), we get

$$[u, y]_\alpha \gamma D(w) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma, \dots\dots\dots (4)$$

By using lemma 3.3 in equ. (4), we get

$$[u, y]_\alpha = 0, \text{ for all } y \in M, u \in U \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma, \dots\dots\dots (5)$$

Replacing u by $x\delta u$ in equ. (5) and using (5), we get,

$$[x, y]_\alpha \delta v = 0, \text{ for all } v \in U, x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \delta \in \Gamma. \dots\dots\dots (6)$$

Then, by using lemma.3.1 in equ. (6), we get

$$[x, y]_\alpha = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

Hence M is commutative.

(ii) By using the similar procedure as in proof (i), we get, M is commutative.

4. Conclusions:

In this paper the notion of reverse derivations on prime Γ -near ring M can be used to study the commutativity conditions of M , when U is a non zero invariant subset of M .

References

- [1] M. Bresar and J. Vukman, On some additive mappings in rings with involution, *Aequations math.*, 38(1989), 178-185.
- [2] Y.B. Jun, K.H. Kim and Y.U. Cho, On gamma-derivations in gamma near-rings, *Soochow J. Math.*, 29(3) (2003), 275-282.
- [3] M. Samman and N. Alyamani, Derivations and reverse derivations in semi prime rings, *International Mathematical Forum*, 2(39) (2007), 1895-1902.